Kinetics and Thermodynamics of Condensed Phase Decomposition

Isaac T. Leventon Yan Ding Stanislav I. Stoliarov





The Fire Problem

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



- Material burning behavior, flame spread, early fire growth governed by positive feedback between:
 - Gas phase heat transfer
 - Flame to surface heating
 - External radiation
 - Condensed phase pyrolysis



The Fire Problem

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



- Material burning behavior, flame spread, early fire growth governed by positive feedback between:
 - Gas phase heat transfer
 - Flame to surface heating
 - External radiation
 - Condensed phase pyrolysis



Early Condensed-Phase Degradation Models

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



• Thermal models

- Assume infinitely-fast reaction at a single pyrolysis temperature (e.g. ignition and burning of a thermally thick solid) q_{ext}

$$t_{ign} \approx \frac{\pi}{4} k\rho c \left(\frac{T_{ign} - T_{\infty}}{q_{ext}^{"}}\right)^{2}$$
$$\dot{m}^{"} = \frac{q_{net}^{"}}{L}$$
$$\delta$$

- Analytical and Algebraic Models
 - Bamford et al.1 (1945)
 - Tewarson et al.² (1979)
 - Kanury³ (1994)

Slide 4

m



State of the Art Computational Pyrolysis Solvers

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



- FDS⁴, Gpyro⁵, ThermaKin⁶
 - Temperature-resolved
 thermophysical properties
 - Account for chemical degradation
 - Multiple components
 - In-depth radiation absorption/emission
 - Structural changes
 - Intumescence, burnout



Modeling Framework

Condensed Phase Pyrolysis

- **Degradation Reaction** Mechanism
 - Degradation Kinetics (A, E, v)
 - Heats of Reactions (h_i) _
 - Heat Capacities (c_p)
 - Heats of Combustion (ΔH_c)
- Transport
 - Thermal Conductivity (k)_
 - Absorption Coefficient (α)

quantity

- Emissivity (ε) _
- Rheology/viscosity (η)
- Gas Transfer (λ)



Modeling Framework

Condensed Phase Pyrolysis

- **Degradation Reaction** Mechanism
 - Degradation Kinetics (A, E, v)—
 - Heats of Reactions (h_i) _
 - Heat Capacities (c_p) —
 - Heats of Combustion (ΔH_c)
- Transport
 - Thermal Conductivity (k)_
 - Absorption Coefficient (α)

quantity

- Emissivity (ε) _
- Rheology/viscosity (η)
- Gas Transfer (λ)



Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling

Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

```
TGA – Reaction Kinetics
DSC – Reaction
Thermodynamics
MCC – Heat of
Combustion
```

Conclusions





 Develop a systematic methodology to parameterize and validate condensed phase pyrolysis models

Model Parameterization

- Literature Review
- Direct Measurement
- Semi-Empirical Correlations
- Inverse Analysis of Experiments
 - Multi-Dimensional Optimization Algorithms⁷⁻⁹
 - Manually Iterative Analyses¹⁰⁻¹²



Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling

Model Development
Parameterization

Experimental

```
TGA – Reaction Kinetics
DSC – Reaction
Thermodynamics
MCC – Heat of
Combustion
```

Conclusions





 Develop a systematic methodology to parameterize and validate condensed phase pyrolysis models

Model Parameterization

- Literature Review
- Direct Measurement
- Semi-Empirical Correlations
- Inverse Analysis of Experiments
 - Multi–Dimensional Optimization Algorithms^{7–9}
 - Manually Iterative Analyses¹⁰⁻¹²



Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling

Model Development
Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions

Slide 10



- Experimental approach
 - Conduct as few physical tests as possible
 - Isolate parameters through each physical test
 - -Validate model parameters across a range of scales, outside of calibration conditions



engineering laboratory

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling

Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC - Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



- Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)
 - Degradation Reaction Mechanism
 - Thermal Degradation Kinetics (A, E, v)
- <u>Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)</u> – Heat Capacities of Components (c_n)

 - Heats of Degradation Reactions (h_i)
- Microscale Combustion Calorimetry (MCC)
 - Degradation Reaction Mechanism
 - Thermal Degradation Kinetics (A, E, v)
 - Heats of Combustion of volatiles (ΔH_c)

Case Study: Poly(butylene terepthalate) (PBT)



Simultaneous Thermal Analysis



- Simultaneously conduct TGA/DSC
 - Sample masses 4-7 mg
 - Heating rates of 10, 5, and 20 K min⁻¹ (typically up to T = 873 K)
 - Continuously purged N₂ atmosphere
 - TGA: measure mass of sample as a function of temperature
 - DSC: measure heat flow to sample as a function of temperature

Simultaneous Thermal Analysis



- Simultaneously conduct TGA/DSC
 - Sample masses 4-7 mg
 - Heating rates of 10, 5, and 20 K min⁻¹ (typically up to T = 873 K)
 - Continuously purged N₂ atmosphere
 - TGA: measure mass of sample as a function of temperature
 - DSC: measure heat flow to sample as a function of temperature

Microscale Combustion Calorimeter (MCC)



MCC

- Sample mass 3-5 mg
- Heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹

Pyrolyzer

- Continuously purged with N₂
- Well-defined temperature program
- Gaseous pyrolyzate freely flows to combustion chamber

Combustor

$$HRR = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} v_j r_i \Delta H_c^j$$

- Pyrolyzate reacts with excess O₂
- HRR measured by oxygen consumption calorimetry

Inverse Analysis of TGA Data: Reaction Kinetics

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics

MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



- Maintain simplest model that captures defining characteristics of mass & mass loss rate data from STA tests
- First (and second) order reactions arranged in series or parallel $r_i = A_i \exp(-E_i/RT)\xi_k\xi_l$ Component Reaction Rate
 - <u>Reaction</u>: a mass loss or heat flow event that can be mathematically represented by the Arrhenius equation
 - <u>Component</u>: a collection of chemical species that exist over a common temperature range

Slide 15

IAFSS 2017 – MaCFP Condensed Phase Subgroup



Inverse Analysis of TGA Data: Reaction Kinetics



Conclusions



- Arrhenius Reaction: $PBT \rightarrow v(PBT_{Res}) + (1 v)(PBT_{Gas})$
 - Criteria for iterative inverse analysis:
 - $-\Delta T_{peak} \leq 5K$
 - Height of MLR peak within 5%
 - Prediction of mass residue within 3%

Case Study: Poly(butylene terepthalate) (PBT)

Slide 16

A, E, v

Inverse Analysis of DSC Data: Reaction Thermodynamics

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Maintain simplest model that captures heat flow rate data from STA tests

 Maintain consistency with TGA mass & mass flow rate data



Inverse Analysis of DSC Data: **Reaction Thermodynamics**



Inverse Analysis of MCC Data: Heat of Combustion



Conclusions



Slide 19

- MCC data further validates reaction mechanism developed from STA experiments
 - Criteria for inverse analysis:

 ΔH_c

- $-\Delta T_{peak} \leq 5K$
- Average mean error within 10%
- Prediction of integral heat flow within 5%

IAFSS 2017 – MaCFP Condensed Phase Subgroup

engineering laboratory

Conclusions

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions

Slide 20



- A reaction mechanism is developed that simultaneously reproduces test data from TGA, DSC, and MCC experiments
- Extrapolate reaction mechanism to:
 - Varied heating rates
 - Unified models of material degradation and burning



engineering laboratory

Model Validation at Varied Heating Rates (5, 20 K min⁻¹)



Application to Complex Systems

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics MCC – Heat of Combustion

Conclusions



Polvamide66	(PA66) +	Red	Phosphor	OUS

- Interactions between components
- Parallel and series reactions
- Varied compositions, heating rates

#	Reaction
1	$PA66 \rightarrow PA66$ -Melt
2	PA66-Melt $\rightarrow \theta$ PA66-Res1 + (1- θ) PA66-Gas1
3	PA66-Res1 → θ PA66-Res2 + (1- θ) PA66-Gas2
4	PA66-Res2 + PA66-Res2 → θ PA66-Res3 + (2- θ) PA66-Gas3
5	$RP \rightarrow RP$ -Gas
6	PA66-Melt + α RP \rightarrow (1+ α)PA66-RP
7	PA66-RP → θ PA66-RP-Res1 + (1- θ) PA66-RP-Gas1
8	PA66-RP + α RP → θ PA66-RP-Res2 + (1+ α - θ) PA66-RP-Gas2
9	PA66-RP-Res2 → θ PA66-RP-Res3 + (1- θ) PA66-RP-Gas3



Application to Complex Systems

Polyamide66 (PA66) + Red Phosphorous (RP)

Interactions between components

Varied compositions, heating rates

Parallel and series reactions

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization



Conclusions

Introduction The Fire Problem

Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization

Experimental

TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction Thermodynamics

Conclusions



- Systematic methodology to characterize materials for pyrolysis models applied to:
 - Non-charring polymers¹³
 - Charing-polymers¹⁴
 - Composite materials (cardboard, carpet, carbon-fiber/epoxy, fiberglass)¹⁵⁻¹⁸
 - Polymers with fire retardants active in the solid phase (i.e. red phosphorous)¹⁹
- Foundation for prediction of material degradation and burning:
 - 1D gasification^{11,12,20,21}
 - 2D Flame spread²²



References

Introduction The Fire Problem	[1] Bamford C, Crank J, Malan D. Proc. Camb. Philol. Soc., Cambridge: 1945, p. 162–82. [2] Tewarson A, Pion RF. Combustion and Flame 1976;26:85–103.
Pyrolysis Modeling Model Development Parameterization	 [3] Kanury A. Combust Science and Technology 1994;97:469–91. [4] McGrattan K, Forney G. Fire Dynamics Simulator (Version 4) User's Guide. 2004. [5] Lattimer BY, Ouellette J, Trelles J. Fire and Materials 2011 [6] Stoliarov SI, Lyon RE. Thermo-Kinetic Model of Burning. 2008. [7] Lautenberger C, Fernandez-Pello C. Fire Safety Journal 2009;44:819–39.
Experimental	[8] Webster R, Lazaro M, Alvear D, Capote J, Trouve A. Proc. Sixth ISFEH, University of Leeds, UK 2010, p. 1008–19.
TGA – Reaction Kinetics DSC – Reaction	[9] Chaos M, Khan MM, Krishnamoorthy N, De Ris JL, Dorofeev SB. Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 2011;33:2599–606.
Thermodynamics	[10] Lattimer BY, Ouellette J, Trelles J. Fire and Materials 2011
MCC – Heat of	[11] Li J, Gong J, Stoliarov SI. Polymer Degradation and Stability 2015;115:138–52.
Combustion	[12] Stoliarov SI, Li J. Fire Technology 2015.
	[13] Li, J., Stoliarov, SI, Combustion and Flame 2013; 160:1287–1297
Conclusions	[14] Li, J., Stoliarov, SI, Polymer Degradation and Stability 2014; 106:2–15
TERSIT,	[15] McKinnon, MB, Stoliarov, SI, Witkowski, A., Combustion and Flame 2013; 160:2595–2607
A O	[16] McKinnon, MB, Stoliarov SI, Materials, 2015;8:6117–6153
	[17] McKinnon, MB, Ding, Y., Stoliarov, SI, Journal of Fire Sciences;35:36–61
	[18] Martin, GE., McKinnon, MB, Stoliarov, SI, Fire Safety Journal (Submitted, 2017)
Z ARYLAT	[19] Ding, Y., McKinnon, MB, Stoliarov, SI, Fontaine, G., Bourbigot, S., Polymer Degradation and Stability 2016;129:347–362
NIST	[20] Li, J., Gong, J., Stoliarov, SI, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 2014; 77: 738–744
National Institute of	[21] Swann, JD, Ding, Y., McKinnon, MB, Stoliarov, SI, Fire Safety Journal 2017
Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce	[22] Leventon, IT, Li, J., Stoliarov SI, Combustion and Flame 2015; 162:3884–3895

Slide 25